IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (PIL) NO. 124 of 2005

Annasaheb R. Kunnure ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. S.S. Lanke for the petitioner.
Mr. Milind More, Assistant Government Pleader, for respondent Nos. 1,2 and 4.
Mr. K.K. Singhvi with Mrs. P.A. Purandare for respondent No.3.

CORAM: **DALVEER BHANDARI, C.J.** & **S.A. BOBDE, J.**

DATE: **FEBRUARY 09, 2005**.

P.C.

This petition has been filed by a social worker seeking a direction to respondent authorities not to demolish hutments in the city of Mumbai without verifying the documents.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

3. Mr. Singhvi, learned Senior Counsel, appearing on behalf of respondent No.3, submits that if the hutment dwellers have any

complaint regarding pre-demolition or post-demolition of their hutments standing on the municipal land, they can approach the Assistant Municipal Commissioner of the concerned Ward. He further submits that the grievance of the hutment dwellers would be considered by the concerned Assistant Municipal Commissioner after verifying the documents by a speaking order .

4. Similarly, the learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the State submits that if the hutment dwellers have any complaint regarding pre-demolition or post-demolition of their hutments standing on the Government land, they can approach the Aditional Collector (Encroachment), Bombay District/Bombay Suburban District. He further submits that the grievance of the hutment dwellers would be considered by the Aditional Collector (Encroachment), Bombay District/Bombay Suburban District, after verifying the documents by a speaking order.

5. The learned Assistant Government Pleader, also appearing for MHADA, Respondent No.4, submits that if the hutment dwellers have any complaint regarding pre-demolition or post-demolition of their hutments standing on the MHADA land, they can approach the Chief

Executive Officer, MHADA. He further submits that the grievance of the hutment dwellers would be considered by the Chief Executive Officer, after verifying the documents by a speaking order.

6. No further directions are necessary. This petition is accordingly disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

S.A. BOBDE, J.